

AQAR 2020-21

1.4 Feedback System
1.4.2 Feedback Report - Alumni

Participants List

Number of Alumni participated in the survey: 369

S.	Department	Number of Alumni participated		
No				
1	BBA	16		
2	Biochemistry	3		
3	Biotechnology	26		
4	Botany	10		
5	Chemistry	53		
6	Commerce	28		
7	Commerce CA	11		
8	Computer Science	8		
9	Economics	13		
10	Electronics	16		
11	English	37		
12	HRM	8		
13	History	13		
14	Information Technology	18		
15	Maths	37		
16	Physics	48		
17	Statistics	16		
18	Tamil	8		

The Result of the Survey

	Outstanding	Commendable	Satisfactory	Unsatisf actory	Below Average
Course Content [Course content in CBCS]	73	79	140	27	50
Course Content [Curriculum developed /adopted has relevance to the local/ national / regional/global developmental needs]	66	94	134	25	50
Course Content [Course content helps in acquiring skills		ų.			
for	78	95	127	_30	39
employability and entrepreneurshi pl					
Course Content [The depth of knowledge and intellectual	6 É		_		
enrichment and overall development acquired through course content	81	96	122	21	49
Course Content [Teaching hours per week and credits allotted for each course]	88	88	133	24	36
Course Content [The pattern, design and content of syllabus]	73	105	127	25	39
Course Content [Choice provided to select elective courses/IDC]	86	76	117	42	48
Course Content [Soft Skills]	102	85	117	23	42

Course Content [Courses on equity and environmental sustainability]	84	86	130	26	43
Course Content [Project work, Internships and Field Visits enhanced the quality of the learning experience]	105	82	103	30	49
Course Content [Relevance of Add-on courses and Value Added Courses]	86	87	120	28	48
Teaching and Evaluation [Teaching methods followed by teachers]	89	85	124	27	44
Teaching and Evaluation [Syllabus content allotted for self-study and learning in the form of assignments, seminars, etc.]	78	79	134	26	52
Teaching and Evaluation [Preparation, communication, encouragement and helpful attitude of teachers in assisting you to learn better]	94	81	125	25	44

Teaching and Evaluation [Weightage given to different components of CIA and the way in which they are implemented	78	96	120	30	45
Teaching and Evaluation [Fairness of evaluation methods followed for CIA and Semester exam]	90	89	112	35	43
Teaching and Evaluation [Availability of faculty for interaction and guidance]	94	89	114	28	44
Teaching and Evaluation [Mechanisms available to redress academic grievances]	79	93	122	23	52
Teaching and Evaluation [Helpful attitude of administrators, staff and nonteaching staff to provide suitable campus culture and atmosphere]	94	88	111	33	43

Report of the Survey

Course Content [Course content in CBCS]

20% of the alumni expressed that course content in CBCS are outstanding, 21% as commendable, 38% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 14% as below average.

Course Content [Curriculum developed /adopted has relevance to the local/ national / regional/global developmental needs]

18% of the alumni expressed that course content are outstanding, 25% as commendable, 36% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 14% as below average.

Course Content [Course content helps in acquiring skills for employability and entrepreneurship]

21% of the alumni expressed that Course content helps in acquiring skills for employability and entrepreneurship are outstanding, 26% as commendable, 33% as satisfactory, 06% as unsatisfactory and 13% as below average.

Course Content [The depth of knowledge and intellectual enrichment and overall development acquired through course content]

22% of the alumni expressed that course content in the syllabus are outstanding, 26% as commendable, 33% as satisfactory, 06% as unsatisfactory and 13% as below average.

Course Content [Teaching hours per week and credits allotted for each course]

24% of the alumni expressed that course content based on credits are outstanding, 24% as commendable, 36% as satisfactory, 06% as unsatisfactory and 10% as below average.

Course Content [The pattern, design and content of syllabus]

20% of the alumni expressed that Course Content [The pattern, design and content of syllabus] are outstanding,28% as commendable, 34% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 11% as below average.

Course Content [Choice provided to select elective courses/IDC]

23% of the alumni expressed that Course Content [Choice provided to select elective courses/IDC] are outstanding,21% as commendable, 32% as satisfactory, 11% as unsatisfactory and 13% as below average.

Course Content [Soft Skills]

28% of the alumni expressed that Course Content [Soft Skills] are outstanding, 23% as commendable, 32% as satisfactory, 06% as unsatisfactory and 11% as below average.

Course Content [Courses on equity and environmental sustainability]

23% of the alumni expressed that Course Content [Courses on equity and environmental sustainability] are outstanding, 23% as commendable, 35% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 12% as below average.

Course Content [Project work, Internships and Field Visits enhanced the quality of the learning experience]

29% of the alumni expressed that Course Content [Project work, Internships and Field Visits enhanced the quality of the learning experience] are outstanding, 22% as commendable, 28% as satisfactory, 08% as unsatisfactory and 13% as below average.

Course Content [Relevance of Add-on courses and Value Added Courses]

23% of the alumni expressed that Course Content [Relevance of Add-on courses and Value Added Courses] are outstanding, 24% as commendable, 33% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 13% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Teaching methods followed by teachers]

24% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Teaching methods followed by teachers] are outstanding, 23% as commendable, 34% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 12% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Syllabus content allotted for self-study and learning in the form of assignments, seminars, etc.]

21% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Syllabus content allotted for self-study and learning in the form of assignments, seminars, etc.] are outstanding, 22% as commendable, 36% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 14% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Preparation, communication, encouragement and helpful attitude of teachers in assisting you to learn better]

25% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Preparation, communication, encouragement and helpful attitude of teachers in assisting you to learn better] are outstanding, 22% as commendable, 34% as satisfactory, 07% as unsatisfactory and 12% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Weightage given to different components of CIA and the way in which they are implemented]

21% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Weightage given to different components of CIA and the way in which they are implemented] are outstanding, 26% as commendable, 33% as satisfactory, 08% as unsatisfactory and 12% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Fairness of evaluation methods followed for CIA and Semester exam]

24% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Fairness of evaluation methods followed for CIA and Semester exam]are outstanding,24% as commendable, 30% as satisfactory, 10% as unsatisfactory and 12% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Availability of faculty for interaction and guidance]

25% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Availability of faculty for interaction and guidance] are outstanding, 24% as commendable, 30% as satisfactory, 09% as unsatisfactory and 12% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Mechanisms available to redress academic grievances]

22% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Mechanisms available to redress academic grievances] are outstanding, 25% as commendable, 33% as satisfactory, 06% as unsatisfactory and 14% as below average.

Teaching and Evaluation [Helpful attitude of administrators, staff and non-teaching staff to provide suitable campus culture and atmosphere]

25% of the alumni expressed that Teaching and Evaluation [Helpful attitude of administrators, staff and non-teaching staff to provide suitable campus culture and atmosphere] are outstanding, 24% as commendable, 30% as satisfactory, 09% as unsatisfactory and 12% as below average.

Rev. Dr. M. Arockiasamy Xavier SJ

Principal

PRINCIPAL
St. JOSEPH'S COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI 620 002

SCOLLEGE Williams Asserting the College Williams Asserting to the College

Dr. A. Rose Venis Dean-IQAC

Dr. A. ROSE VENIS
DEAN-IQAC

St. Joseph's College (Autonomous)
Tiruchirappalli-620 002